

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,707	07/15/2003	Un-Chul Paek	5000-1-191DIV 7100		
33942	7590 10/12/2006		EXAMINER		
CHA & REI	TER, LLC 4 EAST STE 103	LOPEZ, CARLOS N			
PARAMUS, NJ 07652			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1731		
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an	n Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/619,707	PAEK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carlos Lopez	1731	

	Carlos Lopez	1731						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire be Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to the statutory period for reply expire be Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (b) or to the statutory period for reply expire be an all the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date to the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date to the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date to the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date to the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date to the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire be Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the period for reply expires be Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the period for reply expire be Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (b) or the period for reply expires be Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the period for reply expires be Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (b) or the period for reply expires be Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (b) or the period for reply expires be Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (b) or the period for reply expires be Examined Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (b) or the period for reply expires be Examined Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (b) or the period for reply expires be Exampled Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (b) or the period for reply expires be Exampled Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (b) or the period for reply expires be Exampled Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (b) or the period for reply expires be Exampled Note: If box 1 is checked, checked, checked, checked, checked, checked, checked,	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-8 and 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the daims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	`							
`								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Oh does not meet claim 5 because it evacuates air, which does not supply air into the air holes via the preform cover as instantly claimed. However as previously noted, the use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

In the instant case the claim only requires a gas supplier or gas storage means, which as noted by Oh is met by element 48. The gas supplier 48 is deemed as capable of supplying gas in the manner claimed by applicant. The mere fact that gas supplier 48 contains gas therein, it can be expected to supply gas to any other source or for any other use.

Nor has applicant pointed out how the intended use limitation endows the claimed invention with structural features that are distinct from Oh

Morevover, as explicitly shown in figure 5, the gas supplier 48 is connected to and thus supplying gas into the cover 47. Hence meeting the claimed invention of supplying gas to the cover. As noted in MPEP 2115(R2):

"Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim." Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, "[i]nclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims." In re Young, 75 F.2d \*>996<, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963)).

In the instant case, supplying air to the air holes of the article being worked does not determine patentability of the apparatus claim. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is 571.272.1193. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571.272.1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CL.

CHRIS FIORILLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AU 1734